	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/974,882	NOLAN ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion: <u>Rejected</u>
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Dan Chambers, Applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 October 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a separative not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substanc	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	nlicant/Annlicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)
(Ap)	hiicaniyAbhiicani 2 Kebresen	ative Signature – ii appropriate)

Application No. 09/974,882

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated the interview to request clarification of a statement in the Reply filed 22 September 2003 indicating that a document amending the first line of the specification to include the chain of priority was filed with the application. Applicant's representative was informed that no such amendment is present in the USPTO records. Applicant's representative said that he would call back after reviewing his records, but had not responded as of 16 October 2003...